Weak Interactions Discussion Group

Marco Cirelli



#### discussion on P.Zucchelli, Phys.Lett.B 532 (2002)

and M.Mezzetto, J.Phys.G:Nucl.Part.Phys.29 (2003) C.Volpe, J.Phys.G30:L1-L6 (2004) M.Lindroos et al., Proceedings of NuFact'03 J.Burguet-Castell et al., Nucl.Phys.B695 (2004) F.Terranova et al., Eur.Phys.J.C38 (2004) A.Donini et al., Nucl.Phys.B710 (2005) A.Donini et al., Phys.Lett.B621 (2005) J.Bernabeu et al., hep-ph/0505054

...



#### Sources of neutrinos

fusion in the Sun cosmic rays on atmosphere SuperNovae astrophysical engines (active galaxies, stars) nuclear decays in Earth's interior the Big Bang





fission in nuclear reactors

particle accelerators

eams

SBL/LBL beams + SuperBeams  $\nu$  -factories

Sources of neutrinos					
		pure flavor?	known spectrum? interesting Energy?	rates?	
	solar				
	atmo				
0	SN				
	astro				
	geo				
	cvb				
	reactor				
	beams+SuperB				
	$\nu$ -factories				
beam	$\beta$ -beams				

#### **Sources of neutrinos**

		pure flavor?	known spectrum? interesting Energy?	rates?
	solar	$ u_e$	quite, < few MeV	it's ok
	atmo	$(\overline{ u}_{\mu},\overline{ u}_{e})$	quite, GeV	it's ok
0	SN	all	no, 10 MeV	unreliable
	astro	$\overline{ u_e},\overline{ u_\mu}?$	no, no	what flux?
	geo	$ar{ u}_e$	no, < MeV	low
	cvb	all	yes, useless	highest
	reactor	$ar{ u}_e$	yes, MeV	diluted
	∕ beams+SuperB	$(\overline{ u}_{\mu},\overline{ u}_{e})$	yes, GeV	fairly high
	$\nu$ -factories	$(\overline{ u_e},\overline{ u_\mu})$	yes, tens GeV	fairly high
beam	$\beta$ -beams			

#### **Sources of neutrinos**

beam	$\beta$ -beams	$ar{ u}_e,  u_e igvee$	yes, GeV 📝	high 🗸
	$\nu$ -factories	$(\overline{ u}_e,\overline{ u}_\mu)$	yes, tens GeV	fairly high
	beams+SuperB	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}, \overline{ u}_{e}$	yes, GeV	fairly high
	reactor	$ar{ u}_e$	yes, MeV	diluted
	cvb	all	yes, useless	highest
	geo	$ar{ u}_e$	no, < MeV	low
	astro	$(\overrightarrow{ u_e}, \overleftarrow{ u_\mu}?)$	no, no	what flux?
0	SN	all	no, 10 MeV	unreliable
	atmo	$(\overline{ u}_{\mu},\overline{ u}_{e})$	quite, GeV	it's ok
	solar	$ u_e$	quite, < few MeV	it's ok
		pure flavor?	known spectrum? interesting Energy?	rates?

#### The $\beta$ -beam idea



- A  $\beta$  decaying nucleus (ion) which has:
  - short half-life  $(t_{1/2} \sim 1 \text{ sec})$
  - easy production mechanism
  - known energy/spectrum

is accelerated at high energies and let decay in a straight section of an accumulation ring.

An intense beam of energetic neutrinos is produced.







#### The $\beta$ -beam setup



#### unstable nuclei production via spallation neutrons



#### $\sim 10^{13}$ nuclei/sec, in bunches every 8 sec

 $^{6}\mathrm{He}^{++} \rightarrow ^{6}\mathrm{Li}^{+++} e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ 



 $\nu$  spectrum is known from e spectrum

parent nucleus spinless: isotropic emission

 $5 \ 10^{13}$  nuclei/sec

 $\bar{\nu}_e$  source:





$$\nu_e$$
 source:  ${}^{18}\mathrm{Ne} \rightarrow {}^{18}\mathrm{F} e^+ \nu_e$ 



 $\nu$  spectrum is known from e spectrum

parent nucleus spinless: isotropic emission

 $1 \ 10^{\overline{12}}$  nuclei/sec

typical  $E_{\nu}$  after boost  $\approx 0.9 \text{ GeV}$  $(\gamma \approx 250)$ 

# of events at  $L \simeq 130 \text{ Km}$ :  $\approx 2 / \text{kton/year}$ 

Nucleus	Z/A	$T_{\frac{1}{2}}(s)$	$Q_{eta}$	$Q_{\beta}^{eff}$	$E_{\beta}$	$E_{\nu}$	$E_{LAB}$
$\overline{\nu}_{\mathrm{e}}$ decay							
<sup>6</sup> <sub>2</sub> He	3.0	0.807	3.5	3.5	1.57	1.94	582
$^{8}_{2}$ He	4.0	0.119	10.7	9.1	4.35	4.80	1079
<sup>8</sup> <sub>3</sub> Li	2.7	0.838	16.0	13.0	6.24	6.72	2268
<sup>9</sup> <sub>3</sub> Li	3.0	0.178	13.6	11.9	5.73	6.20	1860
${}^{16}_{6}C$	2.7	0.747	8.0	4.5	2.05	2.46	830
$^{18}_{7}$ N	2.6	0.624	13.9	8.0	5.33	2.67	933
$^{25}_{10}$ Ne	2.5	0.602	7.3	6.9	3.18	3.73	1344
$^{26}_{11}$ Na	2.4	1.072	9.3	7.2	3.34	3.81	1450
$\nu_e$ decay							
${}^8_5$ B	1.6	0.77	17.0	13.9	6.55	7.37	4145
${}^{10}_{6}$ C	1.7	19.3	2.6	1.9	0.81	1.08	585
$^{18}_{10}$ Ne	1.8	1.67	3.4	3.4	1.50	1.86	930
$^{33}_{18}{ m Ar}$	1.8	0.173	10.6	8.2	3.97	4.19	2058
$^{34}_{18}{ m Ar}$	1.9	0.845	5.0	5.0	2.29	2.67	1270
$^{35}_{18}{ m Ar}$	1.9	1.775	4.9	4.9	2.27	2.65	1227
$^{37}_{19}{ m K}$	1.9	1.226	5.1	5.1	2.35	2.72	1259
$^{80}_{37}$ Rb	2.2	34	4.7	4.5	2.04	2.48	1031

feasibility: - unstable nuclei production at ISOLDE ok

- acceleration technology exists
- storage technology exists
- radiation issues under control

backgrounds:

#### - none at production

(hadronic interactions of daughter nuclei in tunnel: negligible)

#### - atmospheric neutrinos at detection use directionality use timing of bunches

## The $\beta$ -beam proposal: variations

- a low energy  $\beta$ -beam:  $E_{\nu} \sim \text{few} \cdot 10 \text{ MeV}$ study  $\nu$  N interactions at energies like SN

(energy deposition for explosion, stellar nucleosynthesis...)

- a high energy β-beam: J.Burguet-Castell et al.,Nucl.Phys.B695(2004) F.Terranova et al.,Eur.Phys.J.C38(2004)

 $E_{\nu} \sim \text{few GeV} \quad (\gamma \sim 1000) \quad L \sim \mathcal{O}(1000) \text{ km}$ 

larger  $\nu$  cross-sections (you may use the rock as a target for high energy muons)

handle on matter effects

- a mono energetic  $\beta$ -beam: J.Bernabeu et al., hep-ph/0505054 using electron-capture decaying nuclei (2 body)

#### **Conventional Beams**

 $p \rightarrow \prod_{\pi, K} K \bigvee_{\mu \to \nu_{\mu}} \nu_{\mu} \vee_{\mu} \vee_{\mu} \vee_{\mu} \vee_{\mu} \wedge_{\nu_{\mu}} \vee_{\mu} \wedge_{\nu_{\mu}} \vee_{\mu} \wedge_{\nu_{\mu}} \wedge_{$ 

#### $E \sim \text{some GeV}$ $L \sim \text{few} \cdot 100 \text{ km}$

#### **Conventional Beams** and SuperBeams



#### $E \sim \text{some GeV}$ $L \sim \text{few} \cdot 100 \text{ km}$

#### **Conventional Beams**



and SuperBeams

 $u_{\mu} - 
u_{e, au}$  appearance

 $u_{\mu}$  disappearance

#### "off-axis": - 20 $\pi \rightarrow$

boosting to lab frame:  $\tan \theta = \frac{E_{\nu}^{\star} \sin \theta^{\star}}{\gamma_{\pi} E_{\nu}^{\star} (\beta_{\pi} + \cos \theta^{\star})} \approx \frac{E_{\nu}^{\star} \sin \theta^{\star}}{E_{\nu}}$  $E_{\nu} \approx \frac{E_{\nu}^{\star} \sin \theta^{\star}}{\tan \theta} \leq \frac{E_{\nu}^{\star}}{\tan \theta} \; ; \text{so } \exists \; \text{maximum} \; E_{\nu}(\theta)$ a-more monochromatic beam b-reduce  $\nu_e$  contamination

### $E \sim \text{some GeV}$ $L \sim \text{few} \cdot 100 \text{ km}$



#### **Conventional Beams**

#### and SuperBeams

#### CNGS

K2K

 $MINOS \longrightarrow NuMI$ 



→ JPARK-SK



# **Neutrino factory** $p \rightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \mu_{\text{down}}^{\text{cool}} \xrightarrow{\text{reaccelerate}} \mu_{\overbrace{50\%}}^{50\%} \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \overline{\nu}_{e} \xrightarrow{} \overline{\nu}_{e} \xrightarrow{} \nu_{e} \xrightarrow{} \nu_{e} \xrightarrow{} \nu_{\mu}$



 $E \sim 50 {
m GeV}$  $L \sim 3000 {
m km}$ 

#### Neutrino numerology:

#### what we know (september 2005)

[neutrino mixings]

$$\begin{split} \Delta m_{\rm sun}^2 &= (7.2 \div 8.9) \ 10^{-5} {\rm eV}^2 \\ |\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2| &= (1.7 \div 3.3) \ 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2 \\ \theta_{\rm sun} &= 30^o \to 38^o \\ \theta_{\rm atm} &= 36^o \to 54^o \\ \theta_{13} &< 10^o \end{split}$$

 $\overline{m}_0 < \sim 1 \text{ eV}$ 

better  $\Delta m_{\rm sun}^2$ better  $|\Delta m^2_{
m atm}|$  $\theta_{sun}$ better  $\theta_{\rm atm} \neq 45^{\circ}?$  $\theta_{13}$  $\delta_{CP}$  $\Delta m_{13}^2 = \pm$  $m_0$ Majo/Dirac  $\alpha_{\mathrm{Majo}}, \beta_{\mathrm{Majo}}$ 



better  $\Delta m_{\rm sun}^2$ better  $|\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}|$ better  $\theta_{sun}$  $\theta_{\rm atm} \neq 45^{\circ}?$  $\theta_{13}$  $\delta_{CP}$  $\Delta m_{13}^2 = \pm$ 

(with oscillation experiments)

better  $\Delta m_{\rm sun}^2$ better  $|\Delta m^2_{
m atm}|$  $\theta_{sun}$ better  $\theta_{\rm atm} \neq 45^{\circ}?$  $\theta_{13}$  $\delta_{CP}$  $\Delta m_{13}^2 = \pm$ 

why

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

related to a symmetry?

the last missing; if zero, forget —

leptonic CP violation, leptogenesis...

> model building; access 0v2b

how

KamLand, D/N asy

LBL

low energy solar

precision atmo

LBL,  $\nu$  fact

LBL,  $\nu$  fact

some LBL,  $\nu$  fact

(with oscillation experiments)

better  $\Delta m_{\rm sun}^2$ better  $|\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}|$ better  $\theta_{sun}$  $\theta_{\rm atm} \neq 45^{\circ}?$  $\theta_{13}$  $\delta_{CP}$  $\Delta m_{13}^2 = \pm$ 

why

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

check a lot of exotic, subdominant things

related to a symmetry?

the last missing; if zero, forget —

leptonic CP violation, leptogenesis...

> model building; access 0v2b

how

KamLand, D/N asy

LBL

low energy solar

precision atmo

LBL,  $\nu$  fact  $\beta$ -beams

LBL,  $\nu$  fact

 $\beta$ -beams

some LBL,  $\nu$  fact  $\beta$ -beams

(with oscillation experiments)

#### **Physics reach**

#### oscillations

$$P(\nu_{f_1} \to \nu_{f_2}) = \sin^2 2\theta \cdot \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{4E_r}\right)$$



 $egin{aligned} 
u_e & ext{oscillate in } 
u_{\mu, au} \ ext{driven by } \Delta m_{ ext{sun}}^2, heta_{ ext{sun}} \ ext{at } L pprox 7000 \ ext{Km} \ & (E_
u \sim 0.5 \ ext{GeV}) \end{aligned}$ 

we'll look for subdominant oscillations  $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}$ driven by  $\Delta m_{13}^2, \theta_{13}$  at  $L \simeq 200$  Km

 $(E_{\nu} \sim 0.5 \text{ GeV})$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Physics reach} \\ \text{e.g. full expression for } P(\nu_e \to \nu_\mu) & \begin{pmatrix} \text{in powers of} \\ \alpha \ll 1, \ \theta_{13} \ll 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & P(\nu_e \to \nu_\mu) \approx \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \theta_{23} \ \frac{\sin^2((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{(1-\hat{A})^2} \\ & \pm \sin \delta \cdot \sin 2\theta_{13} \ \alpha \ \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ & + \cos \delta \cdot \sin 2\theta_{13} \ \alpha \ \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ & + \alpha^2 \ \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^2(\hat{A}\Delta)}{\hat{A}^2}, \end{aligned}$$







$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Physics reach} \\ \text{e.g. full expression for } P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})} \approx \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^{2}((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{(1-\hat{A})^{2}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})} \approx \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^{2}((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{(1-\hat{A})^{2}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})} \approx \sin^{2} \theta_{13} \cos^{2} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ & +\cos \delta \cdot \sin^{2} \theta_{13} \alpha \sin^{2} \theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \cos(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ & +\alpha^{2} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \cos^{2} \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^{2}(\hat{A}\Delta)}{\hat{A}^{2}}, \end{aligned} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})} = P(\overline{\nu}_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{f_{2}}) \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{f_{2}})} = P(\overline{\nu}_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{f_{2}}) + P(\overline{\nu}_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{f_{2}}) \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = \frac{P(\nu_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \nu_{f_{m}}) - P(\overline{\nu}_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{f_{m}})}{\hat{\mu}_{0}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = \frac{P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) + P(\overline{\nu}_{f_{1}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{f_{m}})}{\hat{\mu}_{0}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = \frac{P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) + P(\overline{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})}{\hat{\mu}_{0}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = \frac{P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})}{\hat{\mu}_{0}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e})} = \frac{P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})}{\hat{\mu}_{e}} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e})} \\ & \mathbb{P}^{(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Physics reach}\\ \textbf{e.g. full expression for } P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) & (\begin{array}{c} \text{in powers of} \\ \alpha \ll 1, \ \theta_{13} \ll 1 \end{array} \\ P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \approx \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \ \frac{\sin^{2}((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{(1-\hat{A})^{2}} \\ \pm \sin \delta \cdot \sin 2\theta_{13} \ \alpha \ \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ + \cos \delta \cdot \sin 2\theta_{13} \ \alpha \ \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})} \\ + \alpha^{2} \ \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \cos^{2} \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^{2}(\hat{A}\Delta)}{\hat{A}^{2}}, \end{array}$$

 $sign(\Delta m_{13}^2)$  requires matter effect go to higher energy/ longer baseline



 $2VE_{\nu}$ 

 $\Delta m^2_{31}$ 

 $\Delta m_{31}^2 L$ 

 $4E_{\nu}$ 

 $\Delta m_{21}^2$ 

 $\Delta m_3^2$ 

#### **On Politics and Finance**

• "if  $\theta_{13} \simeq 0$ , we'd better know it soon and cheap, go step by step"

• "a  $\nu$  factory would be the most expensive hep project after the LHC, a superbeam is very expensive"

• "a  $\beta$ -beam is of interest also for the nuclear physics people"

#### **Discussion...**

1.?

2.energy tunability?

3.off-axis beta beam?

4.what exactly is of interest to nuclear?

#### Neutrino mixings

[back]

$$U \equiv U_{23}U_{13}U_{12}$$
  
$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{-i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

