11 may 2010 RWTH Aachen

Seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?!?

Marco Cirelli (CERN-TH & CNRS IPhT Saclay)

in collaboration with: A.Strumia (Pisa) N.Fornengo (Torino) M.Tamburini (Pisa) R.Franceschini (Pisa) M.Raidal (Tallin) M.Kadastik (Tallin) Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris) M.Taoso (Padova) C.Bräuninger (Saclay) P.Panci (Saclay) F.Iocco (Saclay + IAP Paris) P.Serpico (CERN)

0808.3867 [astro-ph] Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009) JCAP 03 009 (2009) Physics Letters B 678 (2009) Nuclear Physics B 821 (2009) JCAP 10 009 (2009) 0912.0663 and work in progress 11 may 2010 RWTH Aachen

Seeing Dark Matter in cosmic rays?!?

Marco Cirelli (CERN-TH & CNRS IPhT Saclay)

in collaboration with: A.Strumia (Pisa) N.Fornengo (Torino) M.Tamburini (Pisa) R.Franceschini (Pisa) M.Raidal (Tallin) M.Kadastik (Tallin) Gf.Bertone (IAP Paris) M.Taoso (Padova) C.Bräuninger (Saclay) P.Panci (Saclay) F.Iocco (Saclay + IAP Paris) P.Serpico (CERN)

0808.3867 [astro-ph] Nuclear Physics B 813 (2009) JCAP 03 009 (2009) Physics Letters B 678 (2009) Nuclear Physics B 821 (2009) JCAP 10 009 (2009) 0912.0663 and work in progress

Questions

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Questions

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

Questions

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

What has the eruption left?

direct detection

Xenon, CDMS (Dama/Libra?)

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

\indirect 6

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center
from annihil in galactic halo or center GAPS
\$\overline{\nu}\$ from annihil in massive bodies Icecube, Km3Net

direct detection

production at colliders

/ from annihil in galactic halo or center
 (line + continuum)

\indirect 6

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center from annihil in galactic halo or center $\bar{\nu}$, $\bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies

direct detection

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

\indirect, e

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center
from annihil in galactic halo or center *V* from annihil in massive bodies

direct detection

production at colliders

(from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum)

\indirect e

from annihil in galactic halo or center from annihil in galactic halo or center from annihil in galactic halo or center $\bar{\nu}$ from annihil in massive bodies





		Galactic Bulge	Norma Arm			
Scutum A	Irm .			Cr /	rux Arm	
Outer Arm				The second	Carina	Arm
Perseus Arm	· ····································		- prod			
	Sagittarius Arm		Sun	Local Arm		











What sets the overall expected flux? ${
m flux} \propto n^2 \, \sigma_{
m annihilation}$



What sets the overall expected flux? flux $\propto n^2 \sigma_{\rm annihilation}$ astro& particle



What sets the overall expected flux? flux $\propto n^2 \sigma_{\text{annihilation}}$ astro& $\sigma_{v} = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$

DM halo profiles

Einasto

From N-body numerical simulations:

$$\rho(r) = \rho_{\odot} \left[\frac{r_{\odot}}{r}\right]^{\gamma} \left[\frac{1 + (r_{\odot}/r_s)^{\alpha}}{1 + (r/r_s)^{\alpha}}\right]^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}$$

Halo model	lpha	eta	γ	r_s in kpc
Cored isothermal	2	2	0	5
Navarro, Frenk, White	1	3	1	20
Moore	1	3	1.16	30

 $r_s = 20 \,\mathrm{kpc}$ $\rho_s = 0.06 \,\mathrm{GeV/cm^3}$

At small r: $ho(r) \propto 1/r^{\gamma}$

$$\rho(r) = \rho_s \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{2}{\alpha}\left(\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha} - 1\right)\right]$$

cuspy: NFW, Moore mild: Einasto smooth: isothermal



 $\alpha = 0.17$

Indirect DetectionBoost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density,boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20$

For illustration:





Indirect Detection Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20$

For illustration:



But: recent simulations seem to show almost **no clumps** in inner 10 kpc (tidal stripping). [Millenium Simulation, Carlos Frenk]



Computing the theory predictions



$M \xrightarrow{V} W^{-}, Z, b, \tau^{-}, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\mp}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$

primary channels

DM

 $\cdot W^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$

$\begin{array}{c} DM \\ \hline \\ DM \\ \hline \\ DM \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & W^{-}, Z, b, \tau^{-}, t, h \dots \\ primary \\ channels \\ \hline \\ W^{+}, Z, \bar{b}, \tau^{+}, \bar{t}, h \dots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} e^{\mp}, \begin{pmatrix} - \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} - \\ D \end{pmatrix} \dots \\ e^{\pm}, \begin{pmatrix} - \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} - \\ D \end{pmatrix} \dots \end{array}$







 10^{-3}

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

 10^{-6}

10

Positron fraction

 10^{-5}

10⁻⁶

 10^{-7}

 10^{-8}

10

10²

Energy in GeV

 10^{3}

Anti-proton fraction

 10^{3}

So what are the particle physics parameters?

Dark Matter mass
 primary channel(s)

 10^{2}

Energy in GeV

Comparing with data

Data sets Positrons from PAMELA:

Payload for Anti-Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics





from p (10^4 more numerous at 100 GeV)

Data sets Positrons from PAMELA:



steep e⁺ excess
above 10 GeV!
very large flux!



(9430 e⁺ collected)

(errors statistical only, that's why larger at high energy)

Data sets Positrons from PAMELA:

30% /IELA 08 10% Positron fraction M.Boezio (PAMELA coll.) 2008 3% background? PAMELA might be a real breakthrough 1% 0.3% 10 100 1000 10^{4} Positron Energy [GeV]

steep e⁺ excess
above 10 GeV!
very large flux!

backgnd

Data sets Antiprotons from PAMELA:

- consistent with the background



(about 1000 \bar{p} collected)

Background

Background computations for positrons:



Background estimation for positrons:



using new measuremens of electron fluxes Casadei, Bindi 2008

T.Delahaye et al., 09.2008
BackgroundBackground computations for antiprotons: $\log_{10}\Phi_{\bar{p}}^{bkg} = -1.64 + 0.07 \tau - \tau^2 - 0.02 \tau^3 + 0.028 \tau^4$ $\tau = \log_{10} T/GeV$



Bringmann, Salati 2006



We marginalize w.r.t. the slope E^p , $p = \pm 0.05$ and let normalization free.

Background





Which DM spectra can fit the data? E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 150 \,{ m GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$ (a possible SuperSymmetric candidate: wino)

Positrons:



Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 150 \,{\rm GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$ (a possible SuperSymmetric candidate: wino)

Positrons:



Anti-protons:



[insisting on Winos]



Which DM spectra can fit the data? E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 10 \,{\rm TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$

Which DM spectra can fit the data?E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 10 \,{\rm TeV}$
-annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$



Positrons:

Anti-protons:



Which DM spectra can fit the data?

E.g. a DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 10 \,{\rm TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^$ but...: -cross sec $\sigma_{\rm ann} v = 6 \cdot 10^{-22} {\rm cm}^3/{\rm sec}$

Positrons:



Anti-protons:





Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons only





Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons





Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons



(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$)



Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons + anti-protons





Model-independent results:

Cross section required by PAMELA



Data sets Electrons + positrons from ATIC, PPB-BETS:



•

Polar Patrol Balloon of the Balloon-borne Electron Telescope with Scintillating fibers







Advanced Thin Ionization Calorimeter

- bigger/denser: higher energy
- calorimeter only, no magnet: no charge discrimination

Data sets Electrons + positrons from ATIC, PPB-BETS:



- an $e^+ + e^-$ excess at ~700 GeV??

(ATIC: 1724 $e^+ + e^-$ collected at >100 GeV; 4 σ above bkgnd)



Which DM spectra can fit the data? A DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 1 \,{
m TeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Which DM spectra can fit the data?}\\ \mbox{A DM with: -mass } M_{\rm DM} = 1\,{\rm TeV}\\ \mbox{-annihilation } {\rm DM } {\rm DM} \to \mu^+\mu^- \end{array}$



$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Which DM spectra can fit the data?}\\ \mbox{A DM with: -mass } M_{\rm DM} = 1\,{\rm TeV}\\ \mbox{-annihilation } {\rm DM } {\rm DM} \to \mu^+\mu^- \end{array}$



Results Which DM can fit the data?

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: Leptonically decaying DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM -I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC -A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM -J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity - ...

Results Which DM can fit the data?

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Secluded DM - A.Nelson and C.Spitzer, 0810.5167: Slightly Non-Minimal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs, 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.Feldman, Z.Liu, P.Nath, 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye, 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - Yin, Yuan, Liu, Zhang, Bi, Zhu, 0811.0176: Leptonically decaying DM - K.Ishiwata, S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - K.Hamaguchi, E.Nakamura, S.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0737: Decaying DM in Composite Messenger - E.Ponton, L.Randall, 0811.1029: Singlet DM - A.Ibarra, D.Tran, 0811.1555: Decaying DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.3357: Decaying Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM -I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ Gev WIMP - E.Nardi, F.Sannino, A.Strumia, 0811.4153: Decaying DM in TechniColor - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Murayama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs decays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, Zhu, 0812.0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812.1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakayama, 0812.0219: DMnu from GC -A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075: Decaying DM in GUTs - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196: SuSy B-L DM- S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374: Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, Q.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923: cMSSM DM with additions - Q.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334: Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - C.-H.Chen, C.-Q.Geng, D.Zhuridov, 0901.2681: Fermionic decaying DM -J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons - Goh, Hall, Kumar, 0902.0814: Leptonic Higgs - K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity - ...



Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons^{*} + balloon experiments



* adding anti-protons does not change much, non-leptonic channels give too smooth spectrum for balloons



Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA positrons^{*} + balloon experiments



(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\mu^+\mu^-$), mass ~1 TeV

Data Sets Electrons + positrons from FERMI and HESS:





"Designed as a high-sensitivity gamma-ray observatory, the FERMI Large Area Telescope is also an electron detector with a large acceptance" "The very large collection area of groundbased gamma-ray telescopes gives them a substantial advantage over balloon/satellite based instruments in the detection of highenergy cosmic-ray electrons."

Data Sets Electrons + positrons adding FERMI and HESS:



[formerly predicted GLAST sensitivity]

- no $e^+ + e^-$ excess - spectrum $\sim E^{-3.04}$
- a (smooth) cutoff?









Which DM spectra can fit the data?



Energy in GeV



Notice:

- same spectra still fit PAMELA positron and anti-protons!











Notice:

- same spectra still fit PAMELA positron and anti-protons!



$W^+W^-, M_{\rm DM} \simeq 10 \,{\rm TeV}$



- no features in FERMI => $M_{\rm DM}$ > 1 TeV - a 'cutoff' in HESS => $M_{\rm DM} \lesssim 3$ TeV - smooth lepton spectrum



Model-independent results:

fit to PAMELA + FERMI + HESS (no balloon):



(1) annihilate into leptons (e.g. $\tau^+\tau^-$), mass ~3 TeV

Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr (typical total energy output: 10⁴⁶ erg). Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc);

if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

(1.4

Not a new idea:





Atoyan, Aharonian, Volk (1995)

Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



Geminga pulsar

(funny that it means: "it is not there" in milanese) 'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars:

	TABLE 1 List of Nearby SNRs		
SNR	Distance (kpc)	Age (yr)	E _{max} ^a (TeV)
SN 185	0.95	1.8×10^{3}	1.7×10^{2}
S147	0.80	4.6×10^{3}	63
HB 21	0.80	1.9×10^{4}	14
G65.3+5.7	0.80	2.0×10^4	13
Cygnus Loop	0.44	2.0×10^4	13
Vela	0.30	1.1×10^{4}	25
Monogem	0.30	8.6×10^4	2.8
Loop1	0.17	2.0×10^5	1.2
Geminga	0.4	3.4×10^5	0.67



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.

Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Try the fit with known nearby pulsars and diffuse mature pulsars:



Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux. Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

ATIC needs a different (and very powerful) source:


Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux. Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

PAMELA + FERMI + HESS can be well fitted by pulsars:





Astrophysical explanation?

Or perhaps it's just a young, nearby pulsar...



'Mechanism': the spinning \vec{B} of the pulsar strips e^- that emit γ that make production of e^{\pm} pairs that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at $\tau \sim 0 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr.

Must be young (T < 10⁵ yr) and nearby (< 1 kpc); if not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux. Predicted flux: $\Phi_{e^{\pm}} \approx E^{-p} \exp(E/E_c)$ with $p \approx 2$ and $E_c \sim \text{many TeV}$

Open issue.

(look for anisotropies, (both for single source and collection in disk) antiprotons, gammas... (Fermi is discovering a pulsar a week) or shape of the spectrum...)

e.g. Yuksel, Kistler, Stanev 0810.2784 Hall, Hooper 0811.3362



DM detection

direct detection

production at colliders

Y from annihil in galactic center and from synchrotron emission HESS, radio telescopes

\indirect/

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center from annihil in galactic halo or center $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ from annihil in massive bodies

$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}$



$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}$

Galactic Bulge Norma Arm Scutum Arm Crux Arm Carina Arm Outer Arm Perseus Arm Local Arm Sagittarius Arm Sun DM $\mathbf{V}^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ DM

$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in galactic center}$

Galactic Bulge Norma Arm Scutum Arm Crux Arm Outer Arm Carina Arm Perseus Arm γ Loca Sagittarius Arm Sun \bullet $W^-, Z, b, \tau^-, t, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\mp}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$ and γ $dlogN_{\gamma}/dlogE$ DM 10^{-} ${}^{\checkmark}W^+, Z, \overline{b}, \tau^+, \overline{t}, h \dots \rightsquigarrow e^{\pm}, \stackrel{(-)}{p}, \stackrel{(-)}{D} \dots$ and γ DM 10^{-2} 10 10^{2} 10^{3} typically sub-TeV energies Energy in GeV

$\frac{1}{\gamma} \text{ from DM annihilations in Sagittarius Dwarf}$



Indirect Detection

radio-waves from synchrotron radiation of e^{\pm} in GC



Indirect Detection radio-waves from synchrotron radiation of e^{\pm} in GC





- upscatter of CMB, infrared and starlight photons on energetic e^{\pm} - probes regions outside of Galactic Center

Comparing with data















HESS has detected γ -ray emission from Gal Center and Gal Ridge. The DM signal must not excede that.

Moreover: no detection from Sgr dSph => upper bound.





Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.



Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.



Several observations detected radio to IR emission from the Gal Center. The DM signal must not excede that.

Davies 1978 upper bound at 408 MHz.

VLT 2003 emission at 10¹⁴ Hz.

> integrate emission over a small angle corresponding to angular resolution of instrument



DM DM $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, NFW profile



The PAMELA and ATIC regions are in conflict with gamma constraints, unless...



Bertone, Cirelli, Strumia, Taoso 0811.3744



Taoso 0811.3 Bertone, Cirelli, Strumia,





...not-too-steep profile needed. Or: take different boosts here (at Earth, for e⁺) than there (at GC for gammas). Or: take ad hoc DM profiles (truncated at 100 pc, with central void..., after all we don't know).

EGRET and FERMI have measured diffuse γ -ray emission. The DM signal must not excede that.





Inverse Compton γ constraints



Cirelli, Panci. Serpico 0912.0663 $\rightarrow \mu\mu$. NFW profile



Cirelli, Panci, Serpico 0912.0663

Cosmology: bounds from reionization





Cosmology: bounds from reionization

DM particles that fit PAMELA+FERMI+HESS produce free electrons



Kanzaki et al., 0907.3985

Cosmology: bounds from reionization

DM particles that fit PAMELA+FERMI+HESS produce too many free electrons: bounds on optical depth of the Universe violated $\tau = 0.084 \pm 0.016$ (WMAP-5yr) DM DM $\rightarrow \tau \tau$, Einasto profile



see also: Huetsi, Hektor, Raidal 0906.4550 Kanzaki et al., 0907.3985

Cirelli, Iocco, Panci, JCAP 0910

Challenges for the 'conventional' DM candidates

Needs:	SuSy DM	KK DM
- TeV or multi-TeV masses	difficult	ok
- no hadronic channels	difficult	difficult
- no helicity suppression for any Majorana DM, s-wave annihilation cross see	no	ok

s-wave annihilation cross section $\sigma_{\rm ann}({
m DM\,}{
m Dar{M}}
ightarrow far{f}) \propto \left(rac{m_f}{M_{
m DM}}
ight)^2$



Which DM spectra can fit the data? Ok, let's *insist* on Wino with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 200 \,\text{GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$

If one: - assumes non-thermal production of DM

- takes positron energy loss 5 times larger than usual
- takes "min" propagation only
- gives up ATIC
- neglects conflict with EGRET bound (4 times too many gammas)

then:

Positrons:

Anti-protons:



G.Kane, A.Pierce, P.Grajek, D.Phalen, S.Watson 0812.455



Which DM spectra can fit the data? Ok, let's *insist* on Wino with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 180 \,{\rm GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow W^+W^-$

and - revise drastically the computation of the anti-proton background - assume non-thermal production of DM

- assume non-merma production of Divi
- assume other explanation for FERMI (astrophysics?)

then

Positrons:





G.Kane, R.Lu, S.Watson 0906.4765

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data? Ok, let's *insist* on KK DM with: -mass $M_{\rm DM} = 600 - 800 \,{\rm GeV}$ -annihilation DM DM $\rightarrow l^+l^- (BR = 60\%)$ DM DM $\rightarrow q\bar{q} (BR = 35\%)$

Good fit with: - boost B = 1800- propagation model

very large energy loss with very small L

B: $K(E_e) = 1.4 \times 10^{28} \, (E_e/4 \, \text{GeV})^{0.43} \, \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, L=1 kpc



D.Hooper, K.Zurek 0902.0593

Enhancement How to reconcile $\sigma = 3 \cdot 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$ with $\sigma \simeq 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$?

- DM is produced non-thermally: the annihilation cross section today is unrelated to the production process

at freeze-outtoday- astrophysical boostno clumpsclumps- resonance effectoff-resonanceon-resonance- Sommerfeld effect $v/c \simeq 0.1$ $v/c \simeq 10^{-3}$ + (Wimponium)

Resonance Enhancement

DM annihilation via a narrow resonance just below the threshold:

$$\frac{DM}{M} \qquad \qquad M \qquad \qquad m \lesssim 2M$$
$$M \qquad \qquad M$$

272

$$\sigma = \frac{16\pi}{E^2 \bar{\beta}_i \beta_i} \frac{m^2 \Gamma^2}{(E_{\rm cm}^2 - m^2)^2 + m^2 \Gamma^2} B_i B_f$$
$$\langle \sigma v_{\rm rel} \rangle \simeq \frac{32\pi}{m^2 \bar{\beta}_i} \frac{\gamma^2}{(\delta + \xi v_0^2)^2 + \gamma^2} B_i B_f$$
$$m^2 = 4M^2 (1 - \delta) \qquad \gamma = \Gamma/m$$

Enhancement can reach 10³ with very fine tuned models.

Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia, 2008, Sec.2 Ibe, Murayama, Yanagida 0812.0072 P.Nath et al. 0810.5762




NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Sommerfeld, Ann.Phys. 403, 257 (1931)

Hisano et al., 2003-2006: in part. hep-ph/0307216, 0412403, 0610249

Cirelli, Tamburini, Strumia 0706.4071

Arkani-Hamed et al., 0810.0713

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

A classical analogy:

Arkani-Hamed et al. 0810.0713



$$\sigma_0 = \pi R^2$$

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

A classical analogy:



$$\sigma_0 = \pi R^2$$

$$\sigma = \pi R^2 \left(1 + \frac{2G_N M/R}{v^2} \right)$$

with $v_{\rm esc}^2 = 2G_N M/R$

Arkani-Hamed et al. 0810.0713

For $v \gg v_{\rm esc}$ then $\sigma \to \sigma_0$ For $v \ll v_{\rm esc}$ then $\sigma \gg \sigma_0$

i.e. $E_{\rm kin} < U_{\rm pot}$ (i.e. the deforming potential is not negligible)

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Cirelli, Strumia, Tamburini 0706.4071

 $\psi(\vec{r})$ wave function of two DM particles $(\vec{r} = \vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})$ obeys (reduced) Schrödinger equation:

(V does not depend on time)

$$\frac{1}{M} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M \nu^2 \psi$$

potential due to exchange of force carriers

At r = 0: annihilation

 $\sigma_{
m ann} \propto \psi \Gamma \psi$ with Γ such that $\langle {
m DM\,DM} | \Gamma | {
m final}
angle$

Sommerfeld enhancement:

$$R = rac{\sigma_{\mathrm{ann}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{ann}}^0} = \left| rac{\psi(\infty)}{\psi(0)} \right|^2$$

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

$$-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dr^{2}} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^{2}\psi$$
with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_{V}r}$

parameters are: $lpha,
u,m_V,M$

$$\left(\alpha = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \approx \frac{1}{137}\right)$$

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^2\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_V, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_V$



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^2\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_V, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_V$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^2\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_V, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_V$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^2\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_V, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_V$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.

Cirelli, Strumia, Tamburini 0706.4071 Cirelli, Franceschini, Strumia 0802.3378



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dr^{2}} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^{2}\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_{V}r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_{V}, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_{V}$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.

Cirelli, Strumia, Tamburini 0706.4071 Cirelli, Franceschini, Strumia 0802.3378



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^2\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_V, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_V$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dr^{2}} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^{2}\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_{V}r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_{V}, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_{V}$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha /
u \gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o. $lpha M / m_V \gtrsim 1$ i.e. long range forces for SM weak: $m_V \rightarrow M_{W,Z}$ $M \rightarrow \mathrm{multi-TeV}$ for 1 TeV DM: need $m_V \rightarrow \mathrm{GeV}$



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dr^{2}} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^{2}\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_{V}r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_{V}, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_{V}$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha /
u \gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o. $lpha M / m_V \gtrsim 1$ i.e. long range forces for SM weak: $m_V \rightarrow M_{W,Z}$ $M \rightarrow \mathrm{multi-TeV}$ for 1 TeV DM: need $m_V \rightarrow \mathrm{GeV}$



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-\frac{1}{M}\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dr^{2}} + V \cdot \psi = M\nu^{2}\psi$ with $V = -\frac{\alpha}{r}e^{-m_{V}r}$ parameters are: α, ν, m_{V}, M R depends on: α/ν and $\alpha M/m_{V}$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha/
u\gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o.

 $lpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ i.e. long range forces for SM weak: $m_V \rightarrow M_{W,Z}$ $M \rightarrow {
m multi-TeV}$

for 1 TeV DM: need $m_V \rightarrow \text{GeV}$

Cirelli, Strumia, Tamburini 0706.4071 Cirelli, Franceschini, Strumia 0802.3378



NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

In terms of Feynman diagrams:

Hisano et al. hep-ph/0412403

First order cross section:



Adding a rung to the ladder: $\times \left(\frac{\alpha M}{m_W}\right)$ $\tilde{\chi}^0$



For $\alpha M/m_V \gtrsim 1$ the perturbative expansion breaks down, need to resum all orders i.e.: keep the full interaction potential.

NP QM effect that can enhance the annihilation cross section by orders of magnitude in the regime of small velocity and relatively long range force.

Yukawa potential:

 $-rac{1}{M}rac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + V\cdot\psi = M
u^2\psi$ with $V = -rac{lpha}{r}e^{-m_V r}$

R depends on: lpha/
u and $lpha M/m_V$

The effect is relevant for:

 $lpha /
u \gtrsim 1$ i.e. small velocities i.e today but not at f.o. $lpha M / m_V \gtrsim 1$ i.e. long range forces for SM weak: $m_V \rightarrow M_{W,Z}$ $M \rightarrow \mathrm{multi-TeV}$

for 1 TeV DM: need $m_V \rightarrow \text{GeV}$



Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM: heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet) Cirelli, Strumia et al. 2005-2009

Tytgat et al. 0901.2556

- More drastic extensions: New models with a rich Dark sector

M.Pospelov and A.Ritz, 0810.1502: Seclude mal DM - Y.Nomura and J.Thaler, 0810.5397: DM through the Axion Portal - R.Harnik and G.Kribs. 0810.5557: Dirac DM - D.F . 0810.5762: Hidden Sector - T.Hambye. 0811.0172: Hidden Vector - K.Ishiwata. S.Matsumoto, T.Moroi, 0811.0250: Superparticle DM - Y.Bai and Z.Han, 0811.0387: sUED DM - P.Fox, E.Poppitz, 0811.0399: Leptophilic DM - C.Chen, F.Takahashi, T.T.Yanagida, 0811.0477: Hidden-Gauge-Boson DM - E.Ponton, L.Randall. 0811.1029: Singlet DM - S.Baek, P.Ko, 0811.1646: U(1) Lmu-Ltau DM - I.Cholis, G.Dobler, D.Finkbeiner, L.Goodenough, N.Weiner, 0811.3641: 700+ GeV WIMP - K.Zurek, 0811.4429: Multicomponent DM - M.Ibe, H.Muravama, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.0072: Breit-Wigner enhancement of DM annihilation - E.Chun, J.-C.Park, 0812.0308: sub-GeV hidden U(1) in GMSB - M.Lattanzi, J.Silk, 0812.0360: Sommerfeld enhancement in ays DM - Zhang, Bi, Liu, Liu, Yin, Yuan, Zhu, 0812.0522: Discrimination with SR and IC - Liu, Yin, cold substructures - M.Pospelov, M.Trott, 0812.0432: super-WIMPs deca Zhu, 0812,0964: DMnu from GC - M.Pohl, 0812,1174: electrons from DM - J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, K.Nakavama, 0812,0219: DMnu from GC - R.Allahverdi, B.Dutta, K.Richardson-McDaniel, Y.Santoso, 0812.2196; SuSy B-L DM - S.Hamaguchi, K.Shirai, T.T.Yanagida, 0812.2374; Hidden-Fermion DM decays - D.Hooper, A.Stebbins, K.Zurek, 0812.3202: Nearby DM clump - C.Delaunay, P.Fox, G.Perez, 0812.3331: DMnu from Earth - Park, Shu, 0901.0720: Split-UED DM - .Gogoladze, R.Khalid, O.Shafi, H.Yuksel, 0901.0923; cMSSM DM with additions - O.H.Cao, E.Ma, G.Shaughnessy, 0901.1334; Dark Matter: the leptonic connection - E.Nezri, M.Tytgat, G.Vertongen, 0901.2556: Inert Doublet DM - J.Mardon, Y.Nomura, D.Stolarski, J.Thaler, 0901.2926: Cascade annihilations (light non-abelian new bosons) - P.Meade, M.Papucci, T.Volansky, 0901.2925: DM sees the light - D.Phalen, A.Pierce, N.Weiner, 0901.3165: New Heavy Lepton - T.Banks, J.-F.Fortin, 0901.3578: Pyrma baryons -K.Bae, J.-H. Huh, J.Kim, B.Kyae, R.Viollier, 0812.3511: electrophilic axion from flipped-SU(5) with extra spontaneously broken symmetries and a two component DM with Z₂ parity - ...



Ibarra et al., 2007-2009 Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153 A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM: heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

 More drastic extensions: New models with a rich Dark sector
 TeV mass DM
 new forces (that Sommerfeld enhance)

- leptophilic because: - kinematics (light mediator) - DM carries lepton #

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713 0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $M\sim 700+{
 m GeV}$
- ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
 - couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_{\phi} \sim \text{few GeV}$
 - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi \bar{\chi}$ annihilation:

 $lpha M/m_V\gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ for kinematical limit



The "Theory of DM"

Arkani-Hamed, Weiner, Finkbeiner et al. 0810.0713 0811.3641

Basic ingredients:

- χ Dark Matter particle, decoupled from SM, mass $~M\sim 700+~{
 m GeV}$
- ϕ new gauge boson ("Dark photon"),
 - couples only to DM, with typical gauge strength, $m_{\phi} \sim \text{few GeV}$
 - mediates Sommerfeld enhancement of $\chi\bar{\chi}$ annihilation:

 $lpha M/m_V\gtrsim 1$ fulfilled

- decays only into e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ for kinematical limit



Extras:

- χ is a multiplet of states and ϕ is non-abelian gauge boson: splitting $\delta M \sim 200 \; {
 m KeV}$ (via loops of non-abelian bosons)
 - inelastic scattering explains DAMA
 - eXcited state decay $\chi\chi \rightarrow \chi\chi^*$ explains INTEGRAL $\hookrightarrow e^+e^-$

The "Theory of DM"

Phenomenology:



Meade, Papucci, Volanski 0901.2925



Thaler 0901.2926

Variations

(selected)

pioneering: Secluded DM, U(1) Stückelberg extension of SM

Pospelov, Ritz et al 0711.4866 P.Nath et al 0810.5762



Ξ

Axion Portal: ϕ is pseudoscalar axion-like Nomura, Thaler 0810.5397

singlet-extended UED: χ is KK RNnu, ϕ is an extra bulk singlet Bai, Han 0811.0387

split UED: χ annihilates only to leptons because quarks are on another brane Park, Shu 0901.0720

 DM carrying lepton number: X charged under U(1)_{L_μ-L_τ}, φ gauge boson Cirelli, Kadastik, Raidal, Strumia 0809.2409 Fox, Poppitz 0811.0399 (m_φ ~ tens GeV)
 New Heavy Lepton: X annihilates into Ξ that carries lepton number and decays weakly (~ TeV) (~ 100s GeV)
 Phalen, Pierce, Weiner 0901.3165



Model building

- Minimal extensions of the SM: heavy WIMPS (Minimal DM, Inert Doublet)

 More drastic extensions: New models with a rich Dark sector
 TeV mass DM
 new forces (that Sommerfeld enhance)

- leptophilic because: - kinematics (light mediator) - DM carries lepton #

- Decaying DM

Ibarra et al., 2007-2009Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 0811.4153A.Arvanitaki, S.Dimopoulos, S.Dubovsky, P.Graham, R.Harnik, S.Rajendran, 0812.2075

Decaying DM

DM need not be absolutely stable, just $\tau_{\rm DM} \gtrsim \tau_{\rm universe} \simeq 4.3 \ 10^{17} {\rm sec}$.

The current CR anomalies can be due to decay with: $\tau_{\rm decay} \approx 10^{26} {\rm sec}$

Motivations from theory?

- dim 6 suppressed operator in GUT Arvanitaki, Dimopoulos et al., 2008+09 $\tau_{\rm DM} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{27} \sec \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_{\rm DM}}\right)^5 \left(\frac{M_{\rm GUT}}{2 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ GeV}}\right)^4$
- or in TechniColor

Nardi, Sannino, Strumia 2008

- gravitino in SuSy with broken R-parity...

Indirect Detection \bar{p} and e^+ from DM decay in halo



What sets the overall expected flux? ${\rm flux} \propto n \ \Gamma_{\rm decay}$

 $= \tau_{\rm decay} \approx 10^{26} {
m sec}$ $\Gamma_{\rm decay}^{-1}$

Which DM spectra can fit the data?

0.005

E.g. a fermionic $D_{10} \longrightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



E.g. a scalar $DM \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu$





 M_{\star} with $M_{\rm DM} = 3$



2003 eniger l'ran arra. Õ

 $\overline{\text{TeV}}$:



DM detection

direct detection

Xenon, CDMS, Edelweiss, Dama/Libra?

production at colliders

/ from annihil in galactic halo or center
 (line + continuum)
 Fermi

\indirect (

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center
from annihil in galactic halo or center GAPS
\$\overline{\nu}\$ from annihil in massive bodies Icecube, Km3Net

DM detection

direct detection Xenon, CDMS, Edelweiss, Dama/Libra?

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic halo or center (line + continuum) Fermi

\indirect (

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, ATIC, Fermi from annihil in galactic halo or center from annihil in galactic halo or center GAPS

DM direct detection?



2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology. Why?

What has the eruption left?

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

Because the data (PAMELA, ATIC, HESS, FERMI, (CDMS?)...)

What has the eruption left?

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

- Because the data (PAMELA, ATIC, HESS, FERMI, (CDMS?)...) point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:
- DM is very heavy (or very light?)
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

What has the eruption left?

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

Because the data (PAMELA, ATIC, HESS, FERMI, (CDMS?)...) point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is very heavy (or very light?)
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

What has the eruption left?

Hints.

And open-mindedness.

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

Because the data (PAMELA, ATIC, HESS, FERMI, (CDMS?)...) point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is very heavy (or very light?)
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

What has the eruption left? Hínts. And open-míndedness.

Did we find DM in CR???

2009 has seen a volcanic activity in the field of DM theory and phenomenology.

Why?

Because the data (PAMELA, ATIC, HESS, FERMI, (CDMS?)...) point to a "weird" DM so theorists try to reinvent the field:

- DM is very heavy (or very light?)
- annihilates into leptons and not anti-protons
- huge cross section (boost? Sommerfeld?)
- must not produce too many gammas

What has the eruption left?

Hints.

And open-mindedness.

Did we find DM in CR???

I don't know. I feel ít's very unlikely, but...
Back up slides

Dark Matter annihilations



























































The cosmic inventory

Most of the Universe is Dark.





FAvgQ: what's the difference between DM and DE?

DM behaves like matter

- overall it dilutes as volume expands

- clusters gravitationally on small scales

-w = P/
ho = 0 (NR matter)

(radiation has w = -1/3)

DE behaves like a constant

- it does not dilute
- does not cluster, it is prob homogeneous

-
$$w = P/\rho \simeq -1$$

- pulls the acceleration, FRW eq. $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}}{3}(1-3w)\rho$



DM N-body simulations

2 10⁶ CDM particles, 43 Mpc cubic box

Andrey Kravtsov, cosmicweb.uchicago.edu



DM N-body simulations

2 10⁶ CDM particles, 43 Mpc cubic box





DM N-body simulations



Millennium: 10¹⁰ particles, 500 h⁻¹ Mpc

[back]

Springel, Frenk, White, Nature 440 (2006)

The Evidence for DM

How would the power spectra be without DM? (and no other extra ingredient)

CMB



(in particular: no DM => no 3^{rd} peak!)

LSS



(you need DM to gravitationally "catalyse" structure formation)

[back]
Boost Factor: local clumps in the DM halo enhance the density, boost the flux from annihilations. Typically: $B \simeq 1 \rightarrow 20 \ (10^4)$

In principle, B is different for e⁺, anti-p and gammas,

energy dependent,

dependent on many astro assumptions (inner density profile of clump, tidal disruptions and smoothing...), with an energy dependent variance, at high energy for e⁺, at low energy for anti-p.

antiprotons

ñ

al.

et

avalle

positrons





Where do positrons come from?



T.Delahaye et al., 2008

[back]

Mostly locally, within 1 kpc (more so at higher energy).

Typical lifetime (due to syn rad & IC):

$$\tau \approx 5 \cdot 10^5 \text{yr} \frac{\text{TeV}}{E} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{B}{5\mu\text{G}}\right)^2 + 1.6 \frac{w}{\text{eV/cm}^3}}$$

10%

3%

1%

0.3%

10

Positron fraction

Results for positrons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM halo profile
- boost factor B



 e^+ energy in GeV

 10^{3}

 10^{2}

boost B

 10^{4}

[back]

3

Results for positrons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B

Distinctive signal, quite robust vs astro.



Propagation for antiprotons:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial f}{\partial t} &- K(T) \cdot
abla^2 f + rac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\mathrm{sign}(z) \, f \, V_{\mathrm{conv}}
ight) &= Q - 2h \, \delta(z) \, \Gamma_{\mathrm{ann}} \ \mathrm{diffusion} & \mathrm{convective \, wind} & \mathrm{spallations} \ K(T) &= K_0 eta \, (p/\mathrm{GeV})^{\delta} \ T & \mathrm{kinetic \, energy} & \ \end{bmatrix}$$

Model	δ	K_0 in kpc ² /Myr	L in kpc	$V_{\rm conv}$ in km/s
min	0.85	0.0016	1	13.5
med	0.70	0.0112	4	12
max	0.46	0.0765	15	5
A State State State				

Solution:

$$\Phi_{\bar{p}}(T, \vec{r}_{\odot}) = B \frac{v_{\bar{p}}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\rho_{\odot}}{M_{\rm DM}}\right)^2 R(T) \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_k \frac{dN_{\bar{p}}^k}{dT}$$





Solar polarity Modulation of cosmic rays:

solar magnetic polarity reverses at (the max of) each cycle; during '– polarity' state, positive particles are more deflected away





Background estimation for positrons:



using new measuremens of electron fluxes Casadei, Bindi 2004

Background estimation for positrons:

relaxing the assumption of isotropy* in propagation model (aCDM = anisotropic convection driven transport model), allows to fit PAMELA with pure background

(ROSAT X-ray satellite has seen fast, strong SN winds coming out from galaxy plane: not isotropic)



Background estimation for positrons:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss = PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC



Background estimation for positrons:

SNRs in the spiral arm as sources of electrons (not positrons), whose flux drops at 10 GeV for energy loss = PAMELA

additional more local SNRs inject further electrons at 100 GeV = ATIC

But: preliminary PAMELA data on absolute e⁻ flux show harder spectrum (E^{-3.33}) than this prediction...; do nearby sources agree with B/C...?



Tsvi Piran et al. 0902.0376

Indirect DetectionBackground computations for antiprotons: $\log_{10}\Phi_{\bar{p}}^{\mathrm{bkg}} = -1.64 + 0.07 \tau - \tau^2 - 0.02 \tau^3 + 0.028 \tau^4$ $\tau = \log_{10} T/\mathrm{GeV}$



Bringmann, Salati 2006



[back]

We marginalize w.r.t. the slope $E^p, \quad p = \pm 0.05$ and let normalization free.

Results for anti-protons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B



Results for anti-protons:

Astro uncertainties:

- propagation model
- DM <u>halo</u> profile
- <u>boost</u> factor B



Electrons + positrons from Fermi-LAT:

Fermi detects gammas by pair production: it's inherently an e⁺e⁻ detector



0812.4457 Profumo [back]

Results

Which DM spectra can fit the data?





"PAMELA did not do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate"



M.Schubnell, ENTApP workshop CERN, 02.2009

"PAMELA did do in-flight checks of the p rejection rate"

Method: in the calorimeter, leptons leave all their energy and on the top; protons leave little energy and in the bottom.



Step 1: use the upper portion of the calorimeter to select electrons only (\bar{p} negligible)

Step 2: shower in lower portion selects protons only

Step 3: full analysis (see that peak is statistically consistent with e⁻ peak of step 1)